

## God Created Eve from Adam's Penis

Pastor Will Coats  
Newberry Christian Ministries

Genesis 2:21  
6/9/2019

---

Story: Teaching Satellite Meteorology in the 1980s when Dr. Bob Sheets directed the National Hurricane Center.

Often attacks on the truth are by those who are offended that they didn't come up with it first. Since the idea can't be attributed to them, then it must be false.

Others attack since the truth offends their manufactured identity that has a sense of power in that identity.

My personal identity crisis was based on that Southerners were culturally superior to Northerners (Yankees). I heard this growing up and my hand full of encounters with Northerners proved it to be true in my mind—Yankees were uncultured animals that only thought of themselves.

Why in the heck did I transfer to the University of Wisconsin—Madison?

Upon my arrival, it was apparent: Not only did Christians not exist in the Christ-less North, no one smiled or had the slightest sense of manners. They didn't use napkins and talked with their mouths full as if what they had to say was important and had to be said.

Then I met a few Christian men that I became friends with. Even though we did things together and had a weekly Bible study, I was confused that they drank alcohol and had sex with girls in their rooms and thought God was good with it.

My first FCA meeting was even more interesting. A girl asked me where I was from. I said, "South Carolina." Her response: "Oh, so you're a racist."

What she said was bad enough. But, it was all the young men there who said absolutely nothing and let her attack with amazing stupidity. In the South, the men would have put her in her place informing her that visitors would not be treated as such. Instead, I, the visitor, had to make my own stand: "You don't know me and yet because I'm from the South, you call me a racist. You are the one who is prejudiced."

The young men quietly agreed with me. I don't think that girl ever came back to FCA.

These events and many more continued to prove to me that Northerners were uncultured, dirty, brutes who can't be trusted.

Then I met one of the most Southern Northerners. She was so beautiful, gentle, and welcoming. She was soft and warm and had manners.

Suddenly, not all Northerners were Yankees. And this led to me coming to the conclusion that my Southern Ethnocentrism was built on lies and that I had to let go of my arrogance that made me bristle and disagreeable.

One Sunday evening at FCA, I stood in front of my friends and in tears told them I was sorry for my own prejudice against them.

God freed me and from that moment on, I realized that the offenses seemed to stop because they really never existed.

My identity was now in God and how he made me. Not in a culture made by man.

An old understanding of a passage in Genesis has recently been published as a book and article that has offended many whose identity is rooted in a huge set of lies.

There were Jewish scholars who attacked the interpretation because they didn't come up with it first. Their identities as the go-to for Hebrew interpretation was jeopardized.

At the same time, women who called themselves Christians were the most vicious attackers. The very fact that a man wrote the book and article proves to them that the Patriarchy must be obliterated from society and all men with it. Their identity is founded on the lie that women are as good as men in what God created men to do.

When I don't understand a passage or a doctrine I hear, I ask God. Actually, it's a good idea to always ask God about His Word and doctrines, even if you think you understand it.

In high school, I heard Christians talk about the Rapture. It never set right with me in that I never heard the Holy Spirit confirm it. But when I was asked about my stance on the Rapture, people got vicious and immediately attacked. That was always a sign something was up.

Decades later, I found out that it is primarily an American doctrine based on the Scofield Bible Commentary. If it requires faith, then Americans don't really go for it.

How God created Eve from Adam's 'rib' has always been one of these translations that I've never heard God say is true. And I've always sensed it was not what God had actually done.

The offending passage:

*Genesis 2:21-22*

<sup>21</sup> So the Lord God caused a deep sleep to come over the man, and he slept. God took one of his ribs and closed the flesh at that place. <sup>22</sup> Then the Lord God made the rib He had taken from the man into a woman and brought her to the man.

Rib (*tsela'*: צֵלַע) — Word is used 41 times in the Old Testament and is never interpreted as 'rib' anywhere else. Is 'rib' only in Genesis 2:21-22.

*Tsela'* is a ridged chamber or ridged pole as part of the superstructure that holds up a building.

A rib is certainly part of the superstructure of the human body. But, men don't have one less rib than women. And since God doesn't just do things at random with no meaning, then there has to be meaning and purpose for what God took from the man and created the woman for the man.

Another clue is that when God said that He "took one of his ribs and closed the flesh at that place," the translators left out the Hebrew word that describes the "place" He closed as "underneath."

The late Dr. Alan Dundes of UC-Berkley studied folklore and their effects on cultures announced that from folklore, the translation of Genesis 2:21-22 cannot possibly be 'rib.' In 2001, Dr. Ziony Zevit, a professor of Semitic Languages at the American Jewish University in California, came to the same conclusion that 'rib' was a mistranslation, but through an etiological route.

No one seemed to notice until in 2013, Dr. Zevit published his book, *What Really Happened in the Garden of Eden?* (2013), and then in a subsequent article in *Biblical Archeology Review* in 2015 that heads exploded all over the Christian world.

One example was a woman who wrote to the editor of the *Biblical Archeology Review*:

"I write to express my disappointment with your magazine. I wish to cancel my subscription," wrote Sue Glaze from Oakland, Maryland. "Come on now, Eve being created from Adam's penis bone, rather than his rib? That is plainly not a Bible teaching. I do not need and will not read articles that damage my faith or attempts to cause me to doubt what I know is the truth from the Bible."

Since about 300 BC, many Jews knew that 'rib' was intentionally mis-translated, or euphemized. But when the truth was revealed, Jews noted that the outcry was primarily from Christian women and not Jews who flew into a rage. The reason was because feminism had infiltrated the church where women now believe a huge lie that is rooted in the spirit of Jezebel. If the revealed translation of Genesis 2:21-22 was true, then feminism's premise was false. And as Milo Yiannopoulos recently said, "Women, prepare to burn your briefcases!"

So what was this translation to correct Genesis 2:21-22?

'Rib' (*tsela'*) is a euphemism for Adam's *baculum*, or penis bone. And the place underneath that God closed up (*tachat*) is a man's *perineal raphé* which is the line from the perineal, across the man's scrotum and along on the length of the underside of his penis. And the word, flesh (*basar*),

is used many times in the Old Testament for the male genitals and his penis, in particular. Furthermore, when a man is in a deep sleep, his penis becomes erect.

The passage should read:

*Genesis 2:21-22*

<sup>21</sup> So the Lord God caused a deep sleep to come over the man, and he slept. God took his penis bone and closed the underside of his genitals.

<sup>22</sup> Then the Lord God made the penis bone He had taken from the man into a woman and brought her to the man.

With this understanding, the rest of Genesis 2 should make sense:

*Genesis 2:23-25*

<sup>23</sup> And the man said:

This one, at last, is bone of my bone  
and flesh of my flesh;

this one will be called "woman,"  
for she was taken from man.

<sup>24</sup> This is why a man leaves his father and mother and bonds with his wife, and they become one flesh. <sup>25</sup> Both the man and his wife were naked, yet felt no shame.

So, it is true that woman was made from man and for man. And it centers on his penis.

When God said that male is zakar, erect penis, and the female is neqevah, one who receives, He centered the creation of mankind on the man's erect penis. Men would use their erect penis to use the woman's vagina to fulfill God's command, "Be fruitful and multiply, fill the earth and subdue it" (Genesis 1:28).

When God made the Covenant with Abraham, He centered the Promise on Abraham's erect penis. For God said:

*Genesis 15:4b-6*

<sup>4b</sup> [F]rom your own body (male genitals) will be your heir." <sup>5</sup> He took him outside and said, "Look at the sky and count the stars, if you are able to count them." Then He said to him, "Your offspring will be that numerous."

<sup>6</sup> Abram believed the Lord, and He credited it to him as righteousness.

God is using non-Christians to reveal the truth because Christians have rejected it. The majority who call themselves Christians in the American Church don't believe the Lord and subsequently are not credited with righteousness because they want to believe the lie that women can do what a man can do, even better.

A woman can never do what a man is created to do. But, men know they can't do what a woman is created to do.

**It's a Man's World, and It Always Will Be**  
Camille Paglia, Time Magazine, 12/16/2013

If men are obsolete, then women will soon be extinct — unless we rush down that ominous Brave New World path where women clone themselves by parthenogenesis, as famously do Komodo dragons, hammerhead sharks and pit vipers.

A peevish, grudging rancor against men has been one of the most unpalatable and unjust features of second- and third-wave feminism. Men's faults, failings and foibles have been seized on and magnified into gruesome bills of indictment. Ideologue professors at our leading universities indoctrinate impressionable undergraduates with carelessly fact-free theories alleging that gender is an arbitrary, oppressive fiction with no basis in biology.

...

What is troubling in too many books and articles by feminist journalists in the U.S. is, despite their putative leftism, an implicit privileging of bourgeois values and culture. The particular focused, clerical and managerial skills of the upper-middle-class elite are presented as the highest desideratum, the ultimate evolutionary point of humanity. Yes, there has been a gradual transition from an industrial to a service-sector economy in which women, who generally prefer a safe, clean, quiet work environment thrive.

But the triumphalism among some — like Hanna Rosin in her book, *The End of Men*, about women's gains — seems startlingly premature. For instance, Rosin says of the sagging fortunes of today's working-class couples that they and we had "reached the end of a hundred thousand years of human history and the beginning of a new era, and there was no going back." This sweeping appeal to history somehow overlooks history's far darker lessons about the cyclic rise and fall of civilizations, which as they become more complex and interconnected also become more vulnerable to collapse. The earth is littered with the ruins of empires that believed they were eternal.

After the next inevitable apocalypse, men will be desperately needed again! Oh, sure, there will be the odd gun-toting Amazonian survivalist gal, who can rustle game out of the bush and feed her flock, but most women and children will be expecting men to scrounge for food and water and to defend the home turf. Indeed, men are absolutely indispensable right now, invisible as it is to most feminists, who seem blind to the infrastructure that makes their own work lives possible. It is overwhelmingly men who do the dirty, dangerous work of building roads, pouring concrete, laying bricks, tarring roofs, hanging electric wires, excavating natural gas and sewage lines, cutting and clearing trees, and

bulldozing the landscape for housing developments. It is men who heft and weld the giant steel beams that frame our office buildings, and it is men who do the hair-raising work of inseting and sealing the finely tempered plate-glass windows of skyscrapers 50 stories tall.

Every day along the Delaware River in Philadelphia, one can watch the passage of vast oil tankers and towering cargo ships arriving from all over the world. These stately colossi are loaded, steered and off-loaded by men. The modern economy, with its vast production and distribution network, is a male epic, in which women have found a productive role — but women were not its author. Surely, modern women are strong enough now to give credit where credit is due!

A few days later, Camille Paglia summarized, “What you're seeing is how a civilization commits suicide. If civilization had been left in female hands, we would still be living in grass huts.”

Because women reject where they come from and for whom they are created, they are being set up for an amazing cataclysmic fall. The reason is that feminism (Jezebelism) rejects why they exist so they can attempt to be like God while accusing men of trying to be God over them.

The reality is that the man *is* lord over his woman (Psalm 45:10-11 & 1 Peter 3:5) for she was created from him and for him.

If a woman knew that since a man's penis is the most precious part of himself, then knowing she was created from her husband's penis and for him, then she would know he values her as the most precious to him.

A young married daughter once complained to her mother that her husband always wanted sex. “He wants it every single day!” The wise mother said, “Honey, it only takes a small part of your day to give your man that which gives him so much pleasure and joy.”

The older wife centered her life on that part of her husband from which she came from. No doubt that wife was most valued by her husband.